

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

In the Matter of
Jeffrey Lonn Houdek
Surrendered Certificate No. 15294

STIPULATION AND
CONSENT ORDER
Board File No. 2007-020

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by Jeffrey Lonn Houdek ("Respondent") and the Complaint Committee ("Committee") of the Board of Accountancy ("Board") that without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law and without any evidence or admission by any party with respect to any such issue:

1. A Notice of Conference with the Board of Accountancy's Complaint committee was duly served upon Respondent, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by Respondent.

2. On July 16, 2007, Respondent and Attorney William A. McNab, Winthrop & Weinstine, PA, appeared before the Board's Complaint Committee, composed of Board members Michael M. Vekich, Chair, and Robert J. Hyde to discuss allegations made in the notice referenced above. Executive Director, Doreen Frost and Board Investigator, Steven Renville were also present. Gregory P. Huwe, Assistant Attorney General, represented the Board at the conference.

3. For the purpose of this stipulation, Respondent waives all procedures and proceedings before the Board to which Respondent may be entitled under the United States and Minnesota constitutions, statutes, or the rules of the Board, including the right to dispute the allegations against Respondent and to dispute the appropriateness of discipline in a contested case hearing pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 14 (2006). Respondent agrees that upon the ex parte application of the Committee, the Board may order the remedy specified in paragraph 9 below. Respondent waives the right to any judicial review of the order by appeal, by writ of certiorari, or otherwise.

4. This Stipulation shall constitute the entire record of the proceedings herein upon which the Consent Order is based. All documents in the Board's files shall maintain the data classification to which they are entitled under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, Minn. Stat. § 13 (2006). They shall not, to the extent they are not already public documents, become public merely because they are referenced herein.

5. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Stipulation or a lesser remedy than specified in this Consent Order, this Stipulation and Consent Order shall be null and void and shall not be used for any purpose by either party. If this Stipulation is not approved and a contested case hearing is initiated by the Committee pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 14 (2006), Respondent agrees not to object to the Board's initiation of the hearing and its hearing the case on the basis that the Board has become disqualified because of its review and consideration of this Stipulation or of any records relating hereto.

FACTS

6. Respondent admits that the United States Securities and Exchange Commission issued an Order Instituting Administrative and Cease and Desist Proceedings, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and A Cease and Desist Order Pursuant to Sections 15(B) and 21C of the Securities And Exchange Act Of 1934 as to Jeffrey L Houdek, and that such Cease and Desist Order is a valid basis under which the Board may take disciplinary action against Respondent under Minn. Stat. § 326A.08 Subp 5(a)(7) (2006).

STATUTES

7. Respondent admits that the facts specified in paragraph 6 above constitute sufficient grounds for the remedy specified in paragraph 9 below, and that proof at hearing of any one or more of the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 would empower the Board to take disciplinary action against Respondent's certificate.

18. This Stipulation shall not in any way or manner limit or affect the authority of the Board to proceed against Respondent by initiating a contested case hearing or by other appropriate means on the basis of any act, conduct, or admission of Respondent justifying disciplinary action which occurred before or after the date of this stipulation and that is not directly related to the specific facts and circumstances set forth herein.

REMEDY

9. Upon this Stipulation and record, as set forth in paragraph 4 above, and without any further notice of proceedings, the Committee and Respondent agree that the Board may, in its discretion, issue an order to Respondent as follows:

Respondent is CENSURED and REPRIMANDED.

10. Respondent hereby acknowledges that Respondent has read, understands, and agrees to this Stipulation and Consent Order and has freely and voluntarily signed the stipulation without threat or promise by the Board or any of its members, employees, or agents. When signing the stipulation, Respondent acknowledges that the Respondent is fully aware that the Stipulation and Consent Order must be approved by the Board. The Board may either approve the Stipulation and Consent Order as proposed, approve the order subject to specified change, or reject it. If the changes are unacceptable to Respondent or the Board rejects the stipulation, it will be of no effect except as specified herein.

11. This Stipulation and Consent Order is public data pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.41, subd 4 (2006).


12. This Stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties. Respondent is not relying on any other agreement or representation of any kind, verbal or otherwise.

¹ Respondent changed occupations and surrendered his certificate in May 2006, before the SEC issued its Order or this Board initiated the instant proceedings.

13. If approved by the Board, a copy of this Stipulation and Consent Order shall be served personally or by first class mail on Respondent. The Order shall be effective and deemed issued when it is signed.


14. Respondent may reapply for licensure to the Board upon presenting a petition for reinstatement as provided in Minn. Stat. § 326A.09. Upon consideration of the petition, the Board may, in its sole discretion, deny the request or place such conditions on reinstatement of Respondent's CPA certificate as it deems appropriate and necessary to ensure that the purposes of Minn. Stat. ch. 326A are met.

CONSENT:



Jeffrey Lonn Houdek
Respondent

Dated: 8/15, 2007



William A. McNab
Winthrop & Weinstine, PA


Dated: 8/15, 2007

BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
COMPLAINT COMMITTEE



Michael M. Vekich, CPA
Chair

Dated: 8/17, 2007



Gregory P. Huwe
Assistant Attorney General

Dated: 8/17, 2007


ORDER:

Upon consideration of the foregoing stipulation and based upon all the files, records and proceedings, herein,

1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent is CENSURED AND REPRIMANDED, and

2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that all other terms of this stipulation and consent Order are adopted and implemented this 20 day of August, 2007.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY


Neil Lapidus, CPA

3346562v2

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 55023 / December 29, 2006

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-12518

In the Matter of

JEFFREY L. HOUDEK,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE
AND CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS AND A CEASE-
AND-DESIST ORDER PURSUANT TO
SECTIONS 15(b) AND 21C OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AS
TO JEFFREY L. HOUDEK

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Sections 15(b), and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Jeffrey L. Houdek ("Respondent" or "Houdek").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order Pursuant to Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds¹ that:

Respondent

1. Houdek, age 36 years old, is a resident of St. Louis Park, Minnesota. From the fall of 1999 to January 2003, Houdek was the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of Stockwalk Group, Inc. ("Stockwalk") and oversaw the accounting department. He was also the Financial Operations Principal ("FINOP") for Stockwalk and its subsidiary, MJK Clearing, Inc. ("MJK") during the same time period. From at least July 2001 through September 2001 (the "relevant time period"), Houdek was a registered representative associated with broker-dealers registered with the Commission. He is also a licensed certified public accountant, but his certificate was inactive during the relevant time period.

Other Relevant Entities and Persons

2. Stockwalk is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business in Minneapolis, Minnesota, originally incorporated in the 1990s. Its subsidiary, MJK, was originally incorporated as Miller Johnson and Kuehn, Inc., in 1980. At all relevant times, Stockwalk's common stock was registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and was traded on the NASDAQ under the ticker "STOK." The common stock has since been delisted. During the relevant time period, Stockwalk had three subsidiaries: MJK, Stockwalk.com, a registered online broker-dealer, and Miller, Johnson, Steichen, Kinnard, Inc. ("MJSK"), a registered full-service broker-dealer.² The accounting department for Stockwalk was also responsible for all the financial reporting required of its subsidiaries, including MJK and MJSK. In 2002, Stockwalk reorganized its debt under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The only subsidiary still operating under Stockwalk is MJSK.

3. From January 2001, MJK provided securities clearing functions for Stockwalk's three registered broker-dealers and sixty-five other correspondent brokerage firms. MJK became insolvent on September 25, 2001. MJK and its predecessor, Miller Johnson and Kuehn, Inc., had been registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer since 1981.

¹ The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent's Offer of Settlement and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.

² Clearing and transaction settlement services were originally provided by MJK Clearing Services, a division within Miller Johnson & Kuehn, Inc. On January 1, 2001, MJK Clearing, Inc. ("MJK") became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Stockwalk, while the brokerage components of Miller Johnson & Kuehn, Inc. were merged with the recently acquired R.J. Steichen and Co., and John G. Kinnard Co. brokerages, to create MJSK, then a wholly-owned subsidiary of MJK.

Summary

4. In July and August 2001, MJK improperly calculated its net capital by failing to reduce its net capital for stock borrow deficits relating to certain securities it borrowed from a counter-party broker dealer, Native Nations Securities, Inc. ("Native Nations"). MJK's stock lending department had failed to collect marks to market owed to MJK by Native Nations when the value of securities MJK had borrowed from Native Nations declined. This resulted in significant stock borrow deficits, which MJK and Stockwalk failed to discover and account for in a timely manner. MJK's miscalculation of its net capital caused it to conduct business while not maintaining sufficient net capital in August 2001 and September 2001. Moreover, the Financial and Operational Combined Uniform Single Reports ("FOCUS Reports") for July and August 2001 filed with the NASD reflected the inaccurate net capital computations. During that time, Stockwalk's accounting department was responsible for MJK's incorrect net capital calculations and inaccurate FOCUS Reports filed with the NASD. Houdek was FINOP for MJK and responsible for reviewing the net capital calculation and ensuring its accuracy. As a result of his conduct, Houdek willfully aided and abetted and caused MJK's violations of the net capital requirements, its filing of incorrect FOCUS Reports, and its failure to file proper notice with the Commission of its net capital deficiencies, in accordance with Rule 17a-11, 17 C.F.R. §240.17a-11. Upon its discovery of the net capital deficiency, MJK immediately contacted the NASD and staff of the Commission.

Improper Net Capital Computations and Failure to Comply with the Notice Requirements

5. On or about July 31, 2001 and August 31, 2001, the accounting department of Stockwalk failed to detect, calculate, and deduct charges related to MJK's stock borrow deficits with Native Nations when calculating MJK's monthly net capital. According to MJK's FOCUS Reports from July and August, MJK calculated and reported excess net capital of \$14.7 million on July 31, 2001 and \$14.8 million on August 31, 2001.

6. However, proper deduction of charges relating to MJK's stock borrow deficits reveals that MJK actually had excess net capital of \$6.2 million on July 31, 2001, and a net capital deficiency of \$6.1 million on August 31, 2001. On September 25, 2001, MJK contacted Commission staff to report a net capital deficiency, which prompted Commission examination staff to conduct an exam. In the course of this exam, staff determined that MJK had a net capital deficiency of \$70.3 million. Thus, from at least August 31, 2001 through September 25, 2001, MJK conducted business without sufficient net capital.

7. Prior to MJK's miscalculation of its net capital, Stockwalk received a deficiency letter dated July 17, 2001 from the staff of the Commission regarding MJSK, another subsidiary of Stockwalk. The letter stated that, among other things, the accounting department had not been properly reducing MJSK's net worth for certain stock borrow deficits which became necessary after MJSK borrowed securities from MJK. Houdek responded to the deficiency letter, but failed to subsequently ensure that stock borrow deficits were identified and that appropriate

charges for those stock borrow deficits were being made in MJK's calculations of net capital figures.

8. MJK's miscalculation of its net capital during the relevant time period led MJK to file inaccurate July 2001 and August 2001 FOCUS Reports with the NASD.

9. MJK also failed to provide proper notice to the Commission that it was out of compliance with its minimum net capital requirement on August 31, 2001.

Respondent's Omissions as FINOP

10. As MJK's FINOP during the period July 1, 2001 through September 25, 2001, Houdek was responsible for the preparation of all financial reports and the maintenance of the firm's books and records, and was charged with final approval of and responsibility for the firm's net capital computations. Rule 1022(c)(2) of Schedule C of the NASD By-Laws, *NASD Manual* at 3174 (2001).

11. Serving as MJK's FINOP, it was Houdek's responsibility to ensure that the net capital computations were accurate and correctly calculated. The accounting department had the information necessary to calculate the charges for MJK's stock borrow deficits. As Stockwalk's CFO and FINOP, Houdek reviewed all of MJK's net capital calculations and FOCUS Reports for accuracy. However, Houdek failed to fulfill his responsibility as FINOP because he failed to review adequately the documentation which would have revealed MJK's stock borrow deficits and the need to deduct appropriate charges in calculating net capital. Had Houdek properly reviewed MJK's net capital computations and the supporting documents, he would have known that MJK's net capital calculations and FOCUS Reports were inaccurate, and that notice of net capital deficiencies was required.

Violations

12. As a result of the conduct described above, Houdek willfully aided and abetted and caused MJK's violations of Section 15(c)(3) of the Exchange Act and Rule 15c3-1 promulgated thereunder, which prohibits a broker-dealer from effecting transactions in securities in contravention of Commission rules with respect to financial responsibility and requires a broker-dealer to maintain a minimum level of liquid net worth (net capital). Paragraph (c)(2)(iv)(B) of Rule 15c3-1 of the Exchange Act requires a broker-dealer to deduct from its net worth in computing net capital certain unsecured and partly secured receivables. Houdek willfully aided and abetted and caused MJK's failure to deduct charges related to its stock borrow deficits, thereby resulting in MJK's operation of a securities business while it was net capital deficient.

13. As a result of the conduct described above, Houdek willfully aided and abetted and caused MJK's violations of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-5 promulgated thereunder, which require registered brokers or dealers that clear transactions or carry customer accounts, such as MJK, to file accurate monthly and quarterly FOCUS Reports that include net capital computations.

14. As a result of the conduct described above, Houdek willfully aided and abetted and caused MJK's violations of Section 17(a)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-11 thereunder, which require every broker or dealer whose net capital falls below the minimum required amount, to give notice that same day to the Commission.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Houdek's Offer.

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Exchange Act, it is hereby ORDERED that:

A. Respondent Houdek cease and desist from causing any violations and any future violations of Sections 15(c)(3) and 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 15c3-1, 17a-5 and 17a-11 thereunder.

B. Respondent be, and hereby is, suspended from association with any broker or dealer for a period of nine (9) months, effective on the second Monday following the entry of this Order.

C. It is further ordered that Respondent shall, within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Order, pay a civil money penalty in the amount of \$20,000 to the United States Treasury. Such payment shall be: (A) made by United States postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check or bank money order; (B) made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission; (C) hand-delivered or mailed to the Office of Financial Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, Operations Center, 6432 General Green Way, Stop 0-3, Alexandria, VA 22312; and (D) submitted under cover letter that identifies Jeffrey L. Houdek as a Respondent in these proceedings, the file number of these proceedings, a copy of which cover letter and money order or check shall be sent to Tracy W. Lo, Securities and Exchange Commission, 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary